

अतुरुक्तरुपौस Office of the Commissioner देवीय सीएयरी, सपैन बज़रपारम अपुराम सीएयरी, प्रकार अपनि प्रकार के प्रकार सीएयरी प्रथम, राजस्थ मारी, सामाराजीव्यप्रसाथ (२००१५ Bhavan, Revene Mary, Anthowada, Ahmedabad 380015 Phome: 079-26330505 Pax: 079-26330136 E-Mail: commarpq1-ceam@ginkin.n



#### By Regd. Post

DIN NO. 202301648W000000B53A

(4)	भाइल संख्या / File No.	GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/3313/2022 9866 ~ 92_
(स)	अपील आदेश संख्याऔर दिनांक / Order-In-Appeal No.and Date	AHM-COST-002-APP-ADC-143/2022-23 and 30.01.2023
(ग)	पारित किया गया / Passed By	थी मिहिर रावका, अपर आयुक्त (सपीस) Shri Mihir Rsyka, Additional Commissioner (Appeals)
(খ)	वारी करने की विनांक / Date of issue	31.01.2023
(3)	Arising out of Order-In-Origina Superintendent, COST, Range-I	1 No. ZA2412201213294 dated 31.12.2020 passed by The Division – VII, Ahmedabad North Commissionerate
(च)	अपीलकर्ता का नाम और पता / Name and Address of the Appellant	M/s Santosh Ramchandra Sindhe (OSTIN-24BLIPS6873F1ZO) B-201, 2 <sup>ad</sup> Floor, Shree Vishnu Dhara Home, New Prahisdnagar, Gota, Ahmedabad-382481.

	इस वादेव(वरील) से व्यथित कोई व्यक्ति निम्नसिश्चित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी /प्राधिकरण के समक्ष वपीस दायर कर	
(A)	सन्त्रत है।	
	Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate	
	authority in the following way.	
(i)	National Bench or Regional Bench of Appellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act	
	in the cases where one of the issues involved relates to place of supply as per Section	
	109(5) of CGST Act, 2017. State Bench or Area Bench of Appellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act other	
(iii)	state Bench of Area Bench of Appenate Hindman Halliou chost dor Act, 2017 than as mentioned in para- (A)() above in terms of Section 109(7) of COST Act, 2017	
	Appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed as prescribed under Rule 110 of COST	
(iii)	Rules, 2017 and shall be accompanied with a fee of Rs. One Thousand for every Rs. One	
	Lake of Tax or Input Tax Credit involved or the difference in Tax or Input Tax Credit	
	involved or the amount of fine, fee or penalty determined in the order appealed against,	
	subject to a maximum of Rs. Twenty-Five Thousand.	
(B)	Annesi under Section 112(1) of CGST Act, 2017 to Appellate Tribunal shall be filed along	
	with relevant documents either electronically or as may be notified by the Registrar,	
	Annellate Tribunal in FORM GST APL-05, on common portal as prescribed under Rule 110	
	of CGST Rules, 2017, and shall be accompanied by a copy of the order appealed against	
	within seven days of filing FORM GST APL-05 online.	
	Appeal to be filed before Appellate Tribunal under Section 112(8) of the CGST Act, 2017	
	after paying - [i) Full amount of Tax, Interest, Fine, Fee and Penalty arising from the impugned	
	<ul> <li>Full amount of Tax, Interest, Fine, Fee and Penalty arising from the impugned order, as is admitted/accepted by the appellant; and</li> </ul>	
(i)	(ii) A sum equal to twenty five per cont of the remainingamount of Tax in dispute,	
	<ul> <li>A sum equal to twenty nee per using or the remaining of COST Act, 2017, arising in addition to the amount paid under Section 107(6) of COST Act, 2017, arising</li> </ul>	
	from the sold order, in relation to which the appeal has been filed.	
(6)	The Cantral Goods & Service Tax (Ninth Removal of Difficulties) Order, 2019 dated	
	03 12 2019 has provided that the appeal to tribunal can be made within three months	
	from the date of communication of Order or date on which the President or the State	
	President, as the case may be, of the Appellate Tribunal enters office, whichever is later.	
	उङ्घ अपीक्षीय प्राधिकारी को अपील दाखिल करने से संबंधित व्यापक, विस्तृत और तबीनतम प्रायधानों के लिए, अपीलावी	
	विभागीय वेबसाग्द <u>www.cbic.gov.in</u> को देख सकते हैं।	
(C)	For elaborate, detailed and latest programs relating to filing of appeal to the appellate	
	For elaborate, detailed and latest promises relating to filing of appeal to the appellate authority, the appellant may refer to the method converting of the proving	



# -2-F.NO. GAPF

## Brief facts of the case:-

M/s Santosh Ramchandra Sindhe (Trade Name- Santosh Ramchandra Sindhe) (GSTN-24B.IJPS6673712D), B-201, 2<sup>st</sup> Floor, Shree Vishau Dharn Horne, New Phihadnaga, Cota, Almedabad, Gujarat, 362481 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Appellant') has filed the present appeal against Order No. 2A2412201213294, dated 31.12.2020 (hereinafter referred to as 'the impagned order'), for Cancellation of Registration issued by the Superintendent, CGST, Ranget, Division-VII (S.G. Highway-Bazl, Ahmedabad-North Commissionerstein (hereinafter referred to as the edjudication gautoborty).

2. Briefly stated the fact of the case is that the appellant was registered under CSTIN 24BL/PS6673F120. The appellant was issued Show Cause Note, dated 01.22020 10r cancellation of their registration due to failure to furnish returns for a continuous period of six months. The adjudicating authority vide the impugned order dated 31.12.020 ordered for cancellation of the registration with effect from 31.42-020 on the ground of non-filing of returns for more than six months. Being aggrieved with the impugned order dree pepellent (Bit the present appeal for revocation of cancellation of the Number.

### Personal Hearing :

 Personal hearing In the case was held on 20.01.2023. Shri Rajnikant Nirmal, appeared in person, on behalf of the 'Appellant' as authorized representative. During Personal Hearing he reiterated the grounds mentioned in the appeal memorandum.

### Discussion & findings:

4. I have gone through the facts of the case, the impagned order and the grounds of appeal as well as written submissions of the appealant. I find that the main issue to be decided in the instance as is (0) whether the appeala have been filed within the prescribed time limit; and (1) whether the speala filed against the order of cancellation of registration can be considered for revocation / restoration of cancelled registration by the proper dimer. I find that the impagned order was insued on 311,22020 by the adjudicating authority and the said order was also communicated to them on the same day. It is further observed that the the populant has for the speaker of the speaker was paped on 04.11.2022 and also on 311.22022 bits for the form 65.55 and 55.55 and 55.5

 I further find it relevant to go through the relevant statutory provisions of Section 107 of the CGST Act, 2017, which is reproduced as under:

SECTION 107. Appeals to Appellate Authority. — [1] Any person aggrieved by any decision or order passed under this Act or the State Goods and Services Tax Act or the Union Territory Goods and Services Tax Act by an adjudicating authority may appeil to such Appellate Authority as may be prescribed within three months from the date on which the said decision or order is communicated to such person.

(2)

another the second

(3) .....

(4) The Appellate Authority may, if he is satisfied that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from presenting the appeal within the aforesaid period of three months or six months, as the case may be, allow it to be presented within a further period of one month."

6.1 Accordingly, I observed that the Appellant was required to file appeal within 3 months from the rescipt of the imaginary derived file appeals and the instant case the appellant has filed the present appeal on 04.11.2022 i.e. after a lapse of a period more than three months from the due date. Further, I also Ind that in terms of providions of Sciento 107(4) filed, the appealta autority has powers to condone the delay of one month in filing of appeal over and above the prescribed period of three months as mentioned above, if sufficient cause is shown. Accordingly, I find that there is an inordinate daigo frome than I smoths in filing the appeal over and above the normal period of three months. Thus, I find that the present appeal over and above the normal network three months. Thus, I find that the persent appeal over and above the normal period of three months. Thus, I find that the persent appeal over and above the normal period of three months. Thus, I find that the persent appeal over and above the normal period of three months. Thus, I find that the persent appeal over and above the normal period of three months. Thus, I find that the persent appeal over and above the normal period of three months. Thus, I find that the persent appeal over and above the normal period of three months. Thus, I find that the persent appeal over and above the normal period of three months. Thus, I find that the persent appeal over and above the normal period of three months. Thus, I find that the persent appeal over and above the normal period of three months that persent period period the import of three months.

6.2 I further find that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has passed order on 10.01.2022 in matter of Miscellaneous Application No. 21 of 2022 in MA 665/2021, in SMV(C) No. 3 of 2020. The relevant para No. 5 (I) & S (III) of said order is reproduced as under:

to consideration the arguments advanced by learned counsel pact of the surge of the virus on public health and adversities Alklaants in the prevailing conditions, we deem it appropriate to the M.A. No. 21 of 2022 with the following directions:

- The order dated 2302.0202 is restruct and in continuation of the subsequence orders dated 063.021; 27.04.023 and 28.09.027. It is directed that the period result in the data and a subperiod result of the nurrows of the nurrows of the nurrow of the preservised under any energies of period. In respect of all fulficial or musi-fulficial proceedings.
- п. .
- III. In cases where the limitation would have expired during the period between ISO32000 ull 2002/2022, mowintstanding the actual balance period of Dimitation remaining, all their solution there are limitation period of 90 days from 01.032.022. In the solution balance period of Pintation remaining, with effect from 01.032022 is greater than 90 days, that loager period shall analy.

6.3 Further, I also find that the CBIC, New Delhi has issued Circular No. 157/13/2021-GST dated 20<sup>th</sup> July, 2021 and clarified as under;-

4(c) Appeals by taxpayers/ tax authorities against any quasi-judicial order:-

Wherever any appent is required to filed before joint/ Additional Commissione (Append), Commissione (Append), Appendia Autority for Advance Railing, Tribunal and various coarts against any quasi-publicial order where a proceeding for revision or rest(Atstato of any order is regularities to be subcritchen, the time line for the same would stand extended as per the Honbie Supreme Coart's order.

S. In other words, the actanuiton of timelines granted by Horble Supreme Court wide its Order dated 27.06.2021 is applicable in respect of one oppeal which is required to be filed byfore (both / Additional Commissioner (Appeal), Commissioner (Appeal), Appellate Authority for Alwance Ruilling, Tribunal and various courts against any oussi-ficial order or where proceedings for resultions on rectification of any order is required to be undertaken, and is not applicable to any other proceedings under ST laws.

7. Looking to the above, I find in the present case that the period of limitation of 90 days as per Honbie Supreme Court's Order dated 10-1-2022 in suc-motu writ perition (C) NO.3 02020 in MA No.65(2021 has also also periady been completed on 2005.022C and An other, the present case would not be eligible for the relaxation / extension granted by 1/2 torong Supreme Court in respect of period(s) of limitation as mentioned above frys for data which the said details on crimanged order is communicated to such peeper data and which the said details on crimanged order is communicated to such peeper data and such the said details on crimanged order is communicated to such peeper data and such the said details on crimanged order is communicated to such peeper data and such the said details on crimanged order is communicated to such peeper data and such the said details on crimanged order is communicated to such peeper data and such the said details on crimanged order is communicated to such peeper data and such the said details on crimanged order is communicated to such peeper data and such peeper data and such and s

Accordingly, I find that the further proceedings in case of the present appeal can be taken up for consideration strictly as per the provisions contained in the CGST Act, 2017.

8. It is also observed that the appellant has not submitted any cogent ground for such inordinate delay of more than 3 months in filing the appeal. If fund that this appellate authority is a creature of the statute and has to act as a per the provisions constained in the CGST Act. This appellate authority, therefore, cannot condone the delay beyond the period permissible under the GGST Act. When legislature has intended the appellate authority to entariain the appeal by condoming further delay of only one month, this appellate authority cannot go beyond the power vested by the legislature. My views are supported by the following case laws:

The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Singh Enterprises reported at 2008 (221) E.L.T.163 (S.C.) has held as under:

10.—The provide to sub-exciton (1) of Section 35 makes the position crystal dear that the appellate substript has no power to allow the appeals to be presented beyond the period of 30 days. The language used makes the position clear that the lajoiscurs initiated the appellate substript to entertain the appeal by condensitivity days of a given being (0) of 0 days which is the normal period for profering appeal. Therefore, there is complete exclusion of sectors of the limitation Art. The commissioner and the ligh Court were therefore justified in holding that there was no power to condons the delay ofter the energy of 30 days period."

- (10) In the case of Makfel Laboratories Pet L4d reported at 2011 (274) ELT. 40 (20m), the Horn Pile Bomboy High Court hald that the Common Paperson cannot condense delay beyond further period of 30 days from initial period of 60 days and that provisions of Limitation Act, 1963 is not applicable in such cases as Commissioner (Append) is not a Court.
- (III) The flow the High Court of Dehit in the case of Delta Impex reported at 2004 (III) The High Court of Dehit that the Appellate authority has no jurisdiction to ... upper limitation even in a "suitable" case for, a further period of more than per cases.

9. I find that the provisions of Section 107 of the Central Goods and Services Act, 2017 are parl materia with the provisions of Section 85 of the Finance Act, 1994 and Section 35 of the Central Excise Act, 1944 and hence, the above judgments would be aquaryly applicable to the present appeal also.

10. By respectfully following the above judgments, I hold that this appellate authority cannot condone dealy beyond further period of neam conth as prescribed under Section 107 of the COST Acc 2017 as well as appeal as I field beyond the extension of time limit provided by the Hontble Supreme Court vide order dated 10.01202. Thus, the appeal filed by the appellant is required to be dismissed on the grounds of limitation as not. filed within the prescribed time limit. In terms of the providings of Section 107 of the COST Acc 2017. I do not find any reason t o interfere with the decision taken by the adjudicating authority with the immation fance.

अपीलकर्ता ढारा दर्ज की गई अपीस का निपटारां उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

The appeals filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms.

Additional Commis oner (Appeals

Date:30.01.2023



Attes

(Ajay Kumar Agarwal) Assistant Commissioner (In-situ) (Appeals) Central Tax, Ahmedabad.

#### By R.P.A.D.

To, M/s Santosh Ramchandra Sindhe, B-201, 2<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Shree Vishnu Dhara Home, New Prahladnagar, Gota, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, 382481 -6-

Copy to:

- 1. The Principal Chief Commissioner of Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone.
- 2. The Commissioner [Appeals], CGST & C.Ex., Ahmedabad.
- 3. The Commissioner, CGST & C.Ex., Ahmedabad-North
- 4. The Assistant Commissioner, CGST & C.Ex., Division-VII [S.G. Highway-East], Ahmedabad-North.
- 5. The Superintendent, CGST & C.Ex., Range-I, Division-VII [S.G. Highway-East], Ahmedabad-North.
- 6. The Superintendent [Systems], CGST (Appeals), Ahmedabad.
  - Guard File.
- 8. P. A. File.

